

# Class conflicts in Belarus

## Prologue

We see these days an attempt of the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie to further centralize their political power, and thus completely bring their own domination to the market of the whole of Europe. After Serbia, which now is in the route to join the EU and NATO, the main organs of the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie in the continent, the only national bourgeoisie who is a thorn to the domination of the cosmopolitans are the ones of Belarus.

Of course, the Germans are not only worried about the fact that they can't own the Belarusian market. In reality they are worried that the bourgeoisie class of Russia has already been allowed to exist too much as an independent power, and thus could be a major opponent in their imperialist chessboard; they occupy too much spaces in the board and even a piece at this moment is pivotal for the determination of the side which will have the checkmate against the opponent. We can see that Russia made herself the favor of not completely falling to Germany, and thus leaving the dream of old Europe of making it her own a dream alone. The Russian bourgeoisie managed to raise themselves up and form their own rule independently in the country, and the European cosmopolitan bourgeoisie fears nothing more than the Ivans and Yakovs demanding a payback at the Heinrichs and the Luis and the Johns of old Europe.

Thus, we see a double objective in removing the current national bourgeoisie and their allies from power in Belarus in the part of the European cosmopolitan bourgeoisie. One is completing the demand set to them by economic life itself, and second is securing bases which could help them later to either own Russia, or at least halt it for a while. What of the two, ceasefire of war will depend on the political and economic situation in the years that will follow.

But there is also *another* active participant in this, one which both competing camps of

the bourgeoisie tend to be forged as they are fighting among one another over who will essentially own this *another's* participant product, and this is the *proletariat*.

This proletariat, that sees itself thrown in a situation similar to the dark ages, has lost hope to rise itself in power. It sees its aspirations dead, and it has thus abandoned any aspiration for its own real interest and rule, and have turned its aspirations to either of one of these groups of bourgeoisie.

One part of the proletariat, more than usually the *proletariat intellegencia* who is ideologically, mentally, and spiritually *owned* and *dominated* by the bourgeoisie and the *labour aristocracy*, see its aspirations of actually becoming a bourgeoisie in the armies of the imperialists which ravage the third world and return with the gifts. They hope for a small piece of the treasure in return of the cheaper labour of their fellow proletarians. These people have a completely lubricated and petite bourgeoisie world outlook. In their view, there is simply not even a thought of communism, as communism is evil and will rob of their (*future*) riches that their cosmopolitan lords promise them. They manage to hide this simple individualistic mindset under the guise of "anti authoritarianism", but they themselves know that they would not care about "anti authoritarianism" in reality more than they would care about how the body of the African ants is composed differently from the body of the ants living in Australia.

In communism they simply see their own future self, or at least the future self they have in their imagination, targeted and they ("*future*") riches and glory robbed. Thus, to this kind of bought off worker and student, giving their wife for the lord during the first night of marriage in return of a piece of bread is a great honor. He does not want to change it, but he takes great pride at this practice. If the lords say to him that his wife gave a good service and knew how to please a man, he goes happy in the village and with a proud tone says how lucky he is to have such a great wife and such a gracious lord who would give him a piece of bread in return to his wife's virginity. Such is the honor of the collaborator, and such is the honor these sold out people have. They won't think twice of selling their own compatriots and family if this would mean vacations once a year for a week in Ibiza.

The other part of the proletariat are the ones who have the common sense to understand that the promises of the imperialist are thin air for most of them, and thus chose to follow their own national bourgeoisie in alliance to oppose this menace called EU and USA. This part of the proletariat are more than usually the progressive, advanced communist proletariat in imperialized countries, but when they exist in

imperialist or semi imperialist countries, and they chose to side with their bourgeoisie against perceived "enemies" of the nation, they are more than usually a moderate caricature of the collaborator we discussed above.

This progressive proletariat correctly sees that it will be easier doing a revolution against the national bourgeoisie by slowly entering the bourgeoisie state in alliance to defend the country from becoming a puppet of the foreigners, and then overthrow only this national bourgeoisie in their way to achieving political power. The only thing they have to do is stay committed in the alliance until their time comes. The bourgeoisie see that they can't have any aspirations for independent rule without the alliance of this proletariat and thus this puts them in a vulnerable position. They see their own death coming from both sides, and thus they chose the side which seems easy to defend, but is actually the more faster route to its political death, the alliance with the proletariat.

But there also exists an opposition to the present state of things in Belarus.

A lot is being talked in the western press about this opposition. Many western "socialists" of the petite bourgeoisie libertarian type see in them comrades in arms in the *eternal honest practice of bootlicking* while at the same time *verbally attacking the bootlicker*. It is the same relationship of the agent provocateur towards his employer; make it seem as if you oppose me while working for me. But the opposition is not even that, they don't even claim that they want to oppose *this eternal honest practice*, they fully embrace it and are quite open about it. Thus one may wonder, why these types of socialists see them as comrades in arms? Besides bootlicking, deep down they too see communism as a threat to their well fed pocket, and thus they fear that the *judeo bolshevik* menace will stand them one nice day in the wall.

But what do western leftists of this type have to do with the opposition of Belarus? Well, they are directly tied, as both share material interests and both complement each other. The opposition ask the western left to propagade for it, as the opposition knows that it has no big support in its country and that it relies on the west, and the western left delivers the package. They know they own the media. What is better than infecting spaces that are *in appearance* not owned by the bourgeoisie, which the proletariat who swings between breaking with liberalism and going to communism, follows in hopes of getting some alternative information? Of course these spaces and newspapers are comprador to the bigger, official cosmopolitan bourgeoisie press, but they don't appear easelly as so. How can one effectively control the soul of the proletariat if not by giving

him the *hallucination* of its own *ideological theoritician and media*?

Hallucination is a strong weapon. When one of the most genius directors of the bourgeoisie intellegencia, Christofer Nolan made his epic film, Inception, he knew that hallucination is a strong weapon, and he was not afraid to show how the bourgeoisie use that for their own ends. Of course he could not set his scenario in the real world so to not make people suspicious, so he did set it in an imaginary one, thus making the proletariat watching his movie think that this world were the inceptions happen is not real while it actually is, and the movie itself is part of this inception. He knew that one of the stronger weapons one can use to make another play in his game as his chess piece is not to outright force him, but to make him *believe*, that the idea of essentially siding with the chess player and work for him is *his own*, and not an idea that came from outside. Thus, the actual break up with *liberalism* is very hard; it necessitates a complete delete of everything a person thinks are his own interests and beliefs. It is a lengthy procedure, and as long as the bourgeoisie can have the proletarian swinging to his side or to his own real interest, he will use this swing to try to make the proletarian play as his piece at least in issues that this proletariat can't really see. This swinging proletariat can oppose the bourgeoisie locally, but the bourgeoisie can make this proletariat work for him internationally, and many times the life of this bourgeoisie hangs not in the nation, but in the nations outside.

Thus, we can already see a direct link of the opposition with the outside world. Most of their declarations are obviously made for the outside world to see. It tries to show that it is legitimated, and it pleads to the foreigners, "please, come and save me!". But the foreigners aren't stupid, and they won't come to save them if they at least have not a considerable amount of support in their own country. They know that this would make the proletariat size momentum and produce a nice cool night a new October. They also know that Russia may conduct a second Crimean operation, and thus they don't want that; there is no point if Russia wins too in their eyes. *Either everything or nothing!*

Another interesting aspect of the opposition is the open anti-communism. One of the first movements of the Opposition is the Charter 97. One interesting fact, is that *their very name* is inspired by anti-communism. It draws inspiration by the Czechoslovak Charter 77 made by all counter-revolutionary elements of the country during the period of the workers rule. We can see that from the very start, we have the signature of the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie when it tries to bring anti-imperialist countries in its foot, the anti-communist "left". But this was the first sign of the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie who

saw in Belarus a remnant of communism. Thus in this situation, the bourgeoisie directly attack communism, but one may ask, where is this remnant of communism?

The remnant of communism which the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie and their local collaborators see in Belarus consists in three elements.

First, it is consisted in the heavy state ownership that we see in Belarus.

Second, it is the anti imperialist character of the Belarusian state and its alliance with all progressive forces in the planet, from China till Venezuela.

Third, from the fact that the proletariat *shares* power within the state, the communism the bourgeoisie see is in the high *millitancy* of the Belarusian proletariat. The fascists of Poland and Lithuania cant have a neighbor where the proletariat shares power in the bourgeoisie state, a country where the communists are the *biggest* party of the country, god save us from the day the proletariat which currently enlarge their pockeds may see their neighbors and feel jealus, and thus they may demand legality to form a communist party. What will the Polish and Lithuanian bourgeoisie do then? Allowing a Communist Party there will bring their death nearer, thus this should be avoided at all costs. They need to spread the reaction europeanwide; they need to ban communists before the communists ban them, they need to "hang communists in trees and not leaves". This is the fear the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie have, and this is why they started their "movement" in Belarus as an anti communist project from the start. What they fear is not too much the national bourgeoisie of Belarusia, but the *millitancy* of the Belarusian proletariat. They fear that this *millitancy* will *spread* in their own domains, and they want to keep this *judeo bolshevik menace* the more far away they can, and if it is in their borders, it means that they need to act.

But what can one do? This is the nature of class struggle, it is not only the proletariat which are class conscious, but the bourgeoisie have learned too, and it can be said that they have learned more than the proletariat in the west. Paris Commune was what alerted them to *proletariat millitancy*. Red October was what convinced them that the revolution should be destroyed before their own national non revolutionary proletariat become too jealus of their revolutionary counterparts. *Stalinism* taught them what bolshevism can do when it remains true to it spirit. All liberal "humane" garbage are throw to the garbage can, Stalinism does not see liberal "humanism" as a positive. What it sees is class struggle. Stalinism is the biggest danger the bourgeoisie even faced, it is clear from every prejudice that plagued the Paris commune or the initial bolhevik

revolution, it sees straight in the eyes of the bourgeoisie and it whispers in their ear that they will be executed and their class will disappear. So unimaginable is seen by the bourgeoisie the fact that *stalinism* was *voluntarily* adopted by the majority of the militant proletariat that actually managed to create the first industrial society where exploitation was non-existent, that they needed to construct a whole global myth, which still persists today, but not only that.

They needed to construct in its entirety liberalism and socialism. They needed to re-construct their own ideology from the start, conducting an *inception* operation to the minds of the proletariat. This inception operation consisted of the idea that it is impossible for the proletariat to work collectively and actually endorse *their own* representative. It is and always was impossible for the proletariat to undress itself from the liberal cloths completely, and wear the new stalinist cloths, the cloths of class struggle, now stretched to its logical conclusion. Thus, we had the new reconstruction of what is dictatorship, of what is the state, and of what is freedom.

Socialism and communism were also reconstructed, and this time "socialists" of the controlled opposition type played a large role. The fight against stalinism began when the rest of the bolsheviks themselves saw that stalinism *went too far*, they saw that stalin was not afraid to *finish* the *class struggle*. They saw that *their own* position was jeopardized, either they needed to follow the machine *they* created and vanish due to their own incopendence, or actually oppose it in the *guise* of the same reconstructed liberal bourgeoisie values.

The fact that de-stalinization ever took hold in Soviet Union and the fact that it continues to practically persist today even when stalinism is formally accepted, is the proof of the intellectual *global* victory for the bourgeoisie even inside the socialist society, and the worker states, even in the workers states which survived the 90s.

But this is not enough. A myth on what is stalinism from the supposed communist perspective needed to be also created. The old tactic of having "marxist" bourgeoisie intellectuals was put in work again. This time stalin took the position of marx. Stalinism and bolshevism is completely bastardized for a crude version of it. Stalin is just a benevolent leader, and no such violence as the bourgeoisie accuse him took place. Thus the bourgeoisie have trapped the communists in their own terms. Now it has become a battle not of stalinist principle, but for information on the number of casualties. The principle of stalinism, which is class struggle to its natural conclusion is bastardized to social democracy with a radical face. Stalinism, as the logical conclusion of marxism,

and the essence of stalinism, *revolution, violence, terror, communism*, actual communism not fairy tales who are deep down anarchism and the ideological victory of "anarcho communism" over communism, are completely disregarded. What he have here is a fake version of stalinism from both left and right.

But the bourgeoisie dont care. What they care is the proletariat to arrive naturally to stalinism as slowly as possible, never if possible to them.

Thus stalin has become what marx was for the german social democratic party. Just a face, nothing more. The majority of today's marxist leninist have lost the essence of stalinism. To find that, they need to *drop* marxism leninism of the post stalin type which they still embrace all together and stick to bolshevism and stalinism as the natural conclusion of the first. But this would require them to actually fully transcendent their own material reality, and thus become revolutionary machines like stalin. It would require for them to be targered, to kill themselves as persons. To lose their name. Not many people are ready for that yet, and the people who are, are not currently in leadership of the movement. The only room they can escape is a fake version of stalinism, maoism, but maoism shares the same problems with "marxism-leninism", and thus the two are two sides of the same coin. *Both* share the same infection as the other. They are both unable to transcend the bourgeoisie society and the *civil* society in general, to see the problem in their *own self*.

What will become of stalinism is another story. It seems that our current material realities are not in position where stalinism can be *adopted* by the *head* of the movement yet. But the fact that fake versions of it are adopted is a positive development. It only shows that stalinism is a need which arrises out of the material conditions, and thus in the long run it cant be avoided. Stalinism itself is a continuity of marx and engels, of the bolshevism of Lenin, but what stalinism offers more, is the natural conclusion of marx, engels and bolshevism even if them could not directly arrive at their own natural conclusions in practice as they could not experience the construction of communism.

Thus, the basic substance of stalinism, *millitancy*, and *millitancy* can only progress to stalinism or degrade slowly to liberalism and moderation, is what the cosmopolitan bourgeoisie are afraid. They have achieved putting down millitancy in global terms, and transform it gradually in worker states and workers movements to this degration.

But a second wave of *millitancy* is on the rise. The bourgeoisie are too afraid that this time it will arrive to the long hated stalinism. They can see themselves standing to the

wall and leave this world as violently as they created it.

τότε λέγει αὐτῷ ὁ ἰησοῦς, ἀπόστρεψον τὴν μάχαιράν σου εἰς τὸν τόπον αὐτῆς,  
πάντες γὰρ οἱ λαβόντες μάχαιραν ἐν μαχαίρῃ ἀπολοῦνται.

They know that by violence they live, by violence they will perish. They know that it our today's industrialized societies you cant materially force the proletariat to not kill you. You need to suplemment it with *inception*, you need to fully dominate their spirit too. Even to make them belive they are revolutionary where they arent. This only proves that their rule has arrived to an end. The weapons they can use are already used, the barracks are empty. It is just a matter of time become the proletariat catches the ball which history constandly throws on it. It has missed it most times, but the more it misses the more it arrives to cathing it.

By Francesko U. Kuqe, 27-8-2020